A case of congenital unilateral partial absence of fallopian tube
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A CASE OF CONGENITAL UNILATERAL PARTIAL ABSENCE OF FALLOPIAN TUBE
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Abstract: Congenital partial absence of a fallopian tube has rarely been reported in the literature. A 29-year-old nulligravida woman presented with a two-year history of infertility. Hysterosalpingography revealed an obstructed left fallopian tube with a normal uterine cavity and right fallopian tube. After several AIH treatments, diagnostic laparoscopy was performed, revealing segmental absence of the mid portion of the left fallopian tube. Only a 1-cm stump of the left fallopian tube remained, the majority of the isthmic portion was absent. A 3-cm distal ampullary portion with normal-appearing fimbria was attached to the left pelvic sidewall near the pelvic brim. No other abnormal findings were observed for the uterus, right fallopian tube, and ligaments surrounding the uterus.

There are two possible etiologies of partial absence of the fallopian tube: congenital absence associated with developmental alterations of the Müllerian ducts or asymptomatic torsion followed by autoamputation and reabsorption.
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INTRODUCTION

Congenital abnormalities of the female reproductive tract (abnormalities of the Müllerian duct) are estimated to occur in one of 500–2,000 women\(^1,2\), most commonly manifesting as various types of uterine anomalies. Partial or complete absence of the fallopian tube, a rare occurrence\(^3\), is usually asymptomatic and typically only discovered by hysterosalpingography and diagnostic laparoscopy during workup for infertility.

CASE REPORT

A 29-year-old woman nulligravida presented to the infertility clinic with a two-year history of infertility. She had no remarkable family history or past pelvic surgeries. She had...
regular menstrual cycles, no complaints of dysmenorrhea or dysparunia, and no history sug-
gestive of pelvic inflammatory disease or endometriosis. Initial investigations confirmed
normal menstrual cycles with regular ovulation, as documented by basal body temperature
charting. Pelvic examination revealed a normal-sized, mobile uterus with a grossly normal
cervix. Semen analysis of her husband revealed only mild oligozoospermia.

During the infertility workup, hysterosalpingography revealed a normal uterine cavity.
The right fallopian tube had a normal configuration with spill, but the left fallopian tube was
not visualized (Fig. 1). As treatment for infertility, three attempts at AIH (artificial insemi-
nation with husband sperm) were performed after five courses of timing therapy.

After these infertility treatments, the patient was referred to our hospital for further
evaluation by laparoscopy. Diagnostic laparoscopy revealed a normal uterus and a normal
right ovary and fallopian tube that spilled dye that had been injected into the uterine cavity
transcervically (Fig. 2). The left fallopian tube had a 1-cm proximal portion and a 3-cm dis-
tal ampullary portion with normal-appearing fimbria attached to the left pelvic sidewall near
the pelvic brim, but was missing the mid-isthmic portion (Fig. 3). There was no evidence of
pelvic inflammatory disease or endometriosis. The pouch of douglas, round ligaments,
uterosacral ligaments, ovarian ligaments, and vesicouterine peritoneal fold were all normal-
appearing. Intravenous pyelography confirmed the presence of normal kidneys and ureters.

DISCUSSION

Among the congenital anomalies of the female genital tract, uterine malformations occur
in 3–4% of infertile women and 10–15% of patients with recurrent abortions\(^\text{4,5}\). Structural
abnormalities of the fallopian tube, however, are very rare. Congenital fallopian tube anoma-

Fig. 1. Hysterosalpingography.
A hysterosalpingography revealed a normal uterine cavity. The right fallopian tube had
normal configuration with spill, while the left fallopian tube was not visualized.
lies include accessory ostia, multiple lumina, duplication, complete absence, or segmental deletion of different regions of the fallopian tube. A comprehensive literature search performed by Nawroth et al. in 2006 only identified 18 patients with partial atresia of the fallopian tubes. Segmental atresia could occur unilaterally or bilaterally, but most reported cases (14/18) displayed unilateral partial tubal atresia. Several cases of partial tubal atresia (4/18) were associated with uterine anomalies of bicornuate or unicornuate uterus.

Two possible etiopathogenic causes may result in this rare condition of partial absence of fallopian tube: congenital absence or torsion. Congenital absences are frequently associated with developmental alterations of the mesonephric and paramesonephric ducts. The mesonephric duct becomes apparent in the embryo at approximately five weeks, while the
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pair of paramesonephric ducts, located laterally to the mesonephric ducts, become apparent at about seven weeks. The lower segment of the paramesonephric duct grows caudally from its original position, to cross the mesonephric duct and fuse with the contralateral paramesonephric duct. The upper segment of the paramesonephric duct, which lies lateral to the mesonephric duct, subsequently differentiate into the lateral part of the fallopian tube. The part of the duct that crosses the mesonephric duct differentiates into the mid portion of the tube, while the fused segment forms the uterus and proximal 4/5ths of the vagina. Combined congenital absence of the mesonephric and paramesonephric ducts results in the absence of the uterine horn, fallopian tube, kidney, and ureter on the affected side. Partial unilateral paramesonephric duct defects result in failure of development of varying amounts of the fallopian tube. Inadequate blood supply to the caudal part of the paramesonephric duct during development may also result in the failure of fallopian tube formation.

A torsion of the uterine adnexa is not a uncommon condition, comprising a gynecologic emergency typically accompanied by severe clinical symptoms. Symptoms may, however, be mild or even absent, especially when occurring during pregnancy. Torsion of the fallopian tube and/or ovary may even occur in childhood or in utero. Asymptomatic torsion consequently leads to avascular necrosis and reabsorption of the tissue (fallopian tube and/or ovary). Isolated torsion of the fallopian tube is extremely rare. Although Hansen estimated the incidence as occurring in one in 1.5 million women, it may occur more often, at least 60 case reports were identified by a comprehensive literature search, including our case of isolated tubal torsion in a 15 year-old girl. The suggested causes for torsion of the fallopian tube include intrinsic causes, such as excessive length or spiral course of the fallopian tube, hematosalpinx or hydrosalpinx, neoplasma, or long mesosalpinx, and extrinsic causes, including paraovarian cyst, uterine enlargement by pregnancy or tumor, adhesions, pelvic congestion leading to spiraling of the veins of the mesosalpinx, and hypermobility of the fallopian tube. Recently, two similar cases of ovarian and tubal absence due to adnexal torsion were reported, one case of unilateral ovarian absence with a twisted fallopian tube and one case of a unilateral fallopian tube defect with twisted adnexa (ovary and infundibulopelvic ligaments).

As for the frequencies of these conditions, Uckuyu et al. reported three cases of partial tubal absence, and the incidence of three in 2,550 (one in 850) females underwent laparoscopy or laparotomy at their institute. Our comprehensive literature research, including 19 cases reported by Nawroth, showed that only three out of 30 patients with partial absence of the fallopian tube were complicated with ipsilateral ovarian absence (one case with absence of the proximal portion and two cases with absence of the distal portion of the fallopian tube). Sevanesaratnam suggested that the incidence of unilateral congenital ovarian and fallopian tube agenesis is one in 11,240. For most of the cases reported to date, the true etiologies of partial absence of the fallopian tube remain unclear. However, the absence of any other anatomical structures such as uterine or renal abnormalities would suggest that torsion or a vascular accident, rather than a developmental anomaly, is the likely cause.

The unilateral absence of a fallopian tube, in conjunction with a normal contralateral tube
and uterus, may have less influence on infertility. Although our patient did not have a past history of acute abdominal pain, her condition may have resulted from an asymptomatic torsion of the fallopian tube during childhood or in utero, as no other associated morphological urogenital anomalies were identified.

CONCLUSION

Congenital unilateral absence of a portion of the fallopian tube is quite rare. Two possible causes of this condition include congenital absence associated with Müllerian anomalies or asymptomatic torsion. Adnexal torsion may occur asymptptomatically during adulthood, especially during pregnancy, or may happen during childhood or even in utero. It is important to recognize that asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic adnexal torsion may be followed by auto-amputation and reabsorption of the fallopian tube.
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